BOCKET FILE COPY UNIGIAMED/ACCEPTED

Apr 26, 2007

FCC Public Comments 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20154

MAY 152007

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDs into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket. no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-campatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such uestrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1)

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert S. Levendakes 5188 Eagle Run Rd Virginia Beach, VA 23464-7867 : D. Puninsuts The is abundance about capte companies

INQUIRY FOR ROBERT LEVENDAKES

Complaint Type:Cable		Account Type' Residential	Congressional Complaint		
IC Number:	07-F0275034	Case Type:	Inquiry		
Date Received.	04/30/2007	Complainant:	Robert Levendakes		
Date Entered: Entered By: Assigned To:	05/01/2007 PORTALSV1 Blaise Humes/FCCIN	Date Assigned; Date Reassigned: Service Date:	05/01/2007		
Date Closed: closed By:		Response Date: Original Analyst:	south was to the south		
close Letter Needed?	● Yes ○ No	Purged By:	Purged Date:		
		Removed By:	Removed Date:		
Supervisor Check:	Yes No	Indecency Referral Code:	÷		
Current Status:	Pending Further Information	tion			
View Complaint	As	sociated Case:			
Yes<< Check in Problem Number:	nere if you wish to serve both a \	Wireline and Wireless carrier,			
Title: None	First Name: Robert	Middle leitiel	Loot Names Lavandekaa		
Contact Name:	Robert Levendakes	Middle Initial: Best Time to Call:	Last Name: Levendakes		
Contact Number:	none Ext.	Consumer's Telephone Nun	nber: Ext.		
Fax Number:		TTY Number:			
Email Address: PO Box:		Internet Address:			
City:	Virginia Beach	Address: State: VA	5188 Eagle Run Rd Zip: 23464		
5.9.		Clais			
On Behalf Of					
Company Name:					
Party's Name:		Relationship with t	he Party:		
Party's Contact N	Number: Ext.	PO Box: Address:	PO Box: Address:		
Other Party that	can be contacted?	City:State:Zip:			
Name:	<u></u>	Relationship:			
Contact Number: Ext.		Address:			
		City:, State: Zip:			
*'Amount of credit	FCC effort generated:	Duplicate Credit Check	red:○ Yes ● No		

TCPA Information from 475

1. the telephone number of the in	or faxed you:	Ext:	
 3. a description of the telemarke identification of the company phone numbers that were interested to the "opt-out" number(s) provid (List number(s) given in the call additional calls or faxes.) 5. Have you: (a) purchased anyt (b) made an inquiry or application you the call or fax? If so, please (1) Date of Program: (2) Time of Program: (3) Network: 	led in the call(s) or on the fax(es): all(s) or fax(es) for you to contact hing from the company being adv tion to that company: or (c) given se describe and state when you h liency of the station on which you m Was Viewed:	or unsolicited fax. including an re being advertised. and any if you do not want to receive any vertised in the call or fax; a consent to the company to send had such contact with the company	Ext:
ANALYSIS SECTION			
Correspondence Type:	○ Complaint ● Inquiry	Source Code:	Postal Mail
Apparent Carrier(s):		Re-Serve Carrier(s):	
Responding Carrier(s):		Assigned Subject Code:	OTHER
		Other Code Description	Docket 97-80 see comments
Activity Code:	Direct	Assigned Code Acronym:	OTHE
Final Responsible Party:		Sub-Category:	Other
		Additional Sub-Category:	田山 佐
Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:	○ Yes ○ NO		
Mediation with Carrier/Complainant?:	○ Yes ○ No	Response Type:	
Referral Information Date Referred:			Consumer Referral Letter Agency Re
Referred To:	Agency Name(s):	Company Name(s):	Indecent -
		General Acknowle Indecent DNC - More in DNC - Ent DNC - E Non DNC - More in	Distribused TFAX formation TFA present TFAX More xemption

Actionable Case:	\$	Actionable	NonActional	je	
DNC Enforcement	∟etter Generated?	O Yes 🛡 No	O Yes 🗣 N	TFAX Enforcement Letter Generate	∍d?
DNC More Info Letter Generated7 O Yes O No		O γes ® No	O Yes 🛡 N	TFAX Exemption Letter Generated	?
DNC Exemption Le	tter Generated?	O Yes ● No	O Yes 🛡 N	TFAX More Info Letter Generated?	
Non DNC More info	Letter Generated?	O Yes	e No	(desc)	
<u>Deferment Informa</u> Date Deferred:	tion	*****	Reason:	None	
Date UnDeferred:					
Extension Informa	tion:				
Extension Request Extension Granted	O Vec 🗨 N				
SERVE INFORMAT	ION				
COMMENTS					
Comment History: 0510212007-Blaise H	umes Holding for w o	ord on how to proce	ed with this inqui	iry	
DOCUMENT HISTO	DRY				
Created by	OSCARServer		Date 05/01	1/2007 06:01 PM	
Last Edited by	Błaise Humes Blaise Humes Blaise Humes		05102	312007 07 20 AM 212007 1 2 43 PM 212007 1 2 43 PM	

Processed By Gettysburg - BlaiseHumes